Excitation of multiple surface-plasmon-polariton waves guided by the periodically corrugated interface of a metal and a periodic multilayered isotropic dielectric material
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The excitation of multiple surface-plasmon-polariton (SPP) waves guided by the periodically corrugated interface of a homogeneous metal and a periodic multilayered isotropic dielectric (PMLID) material was studied theoretically. The solution of the underlying canonical boundary-value problem (with a planar interface) indicates that multiple SPP waves of different polarization states, phase speeds, and attenuation rates can be guided by the periodically corrugated interface. Accordingly, the boundary-value problem was formulated using rigorous coupled-wave analysis and solved using a numerically stable algorithm. A linearly polarized plane wave was considered obliquely incident on a PMLID material of finite thickness and backed by a metallic surface-relief grating. The total reflectance, total transmittance, and the absorptance were calculated as functions of the incidence angle for different numbers of unit cells in the PMLID material of fixed period. The excitation of SPP waves was indicated by those peaks in the absorptance curves that were independent of the number of unit cells, and these peaks were also correlated with the solutions of a dispersion equation obtained from the canonical boundary-value problem. © 2012 Optical Society of America
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1. INTRODUCTION

In a seminal paper Yeh et al. [1] provided the general formulation for the propagation of electromagnetic surface waves guided by the planar interface of a homogeneous isotropic dielectric material and a periodic multilayered isotropic dielectric (PMLID) material. Since then, numerous theoretical and experimental investigations on the propagation and excitation of surface-plasmon-polariton (SPP) waves guided by the interface of a homogeneous metal and a PMLID material have been reported. In the vast majority, if not all of these papers, numerical results have been provided when the unit cell of the PMLID material consists of two different homogeneous dielectric layers, and it has been shown that $p$-polarized SPP waves can be guided by the chosen interface.

Some researchers [2–5] have shown experimentally and theoretically that $s$-polarized SPP waves can also be guided by such interfaces. Based on our recent theoretical investigations [6,7] on the guidance of multiple SPP waves at a certain frequency by the interface of a metal and a rugate filter—which is a continuously nonhomogeneous, not piecewise homogeneous, material [8,9]—we hypothesized that success or failure in finding $s$-polarized SPP waves could be attributed to the thickness of the first homogeneous dielectric layer in the unit cell adjacent to the metal. If that thickness is much greater than the penetration depth of the SPP wave into that layer, the effect of periodic nonhomogeneity of the PMLID material is going to be inconsequential. If that thickness is sufficiently small, then the periodic nonhomogeneity could be effective in the emergence of multiple SPP waves, some $p$ and the others $s$ polarized. Provided the thickness of the unit cell of the PMLID material remains unchanged, it would appear that the propensity to guide multiple SPP waves would be enhanced if the unit cell comprises several layers of comparable (but not large) thickness.

With a two-layer unit cell on the one hand, either sufficient to guide multiple SPP waves (including those of the $s$-polarization state) or not, and a unit cell with continuously varying relative permittivity on the other hand typically guiding several SPP waves, we decided to investigate an intermediate case where the number of layers in one unit cell exceeds two but is not so large that the PMLID material is, in effect, continuously nonhomogeneous. As the excitation of just a single SPP wave (at a given frequency) at the interface of a metal and a homogeneous semiconductor has recently been shown to improve the efficiency of thin-film solar cells [10,11], motivation for the work reported here came from the thought that the simultaneous excitation of several SPP waves—of different polarization states, phase speeds, $e$-folding distances along the direction of propagation, and field distributions, but all of the same frequency—would improve the efficiency
even more. The piecewise homogeneous constitution of the PMLID material makes it attractive to fabricate even on an industrial scale.

Although the theoretical formulation for the underlying boundary-value problem has been provided by Yeh et al. [1], we have succinctly described it in $4 \times 4$ matrix notation in Subsection 2A for completeness. For a practical implementation, we could have chosen either (i) the Turbadar-Kretschmann-Raether configuration [12–15], in which the interface of the metal and the dielectric material is planar but a prism is required to launch SPP waves [3–5,16], or (ii) the grating-coupled configuration in which the interface of the two partnering materials is periodically corrugated [17–19]. We chose the latter because it does not need a prism and it also allows the efficient coupling of SPP waves, which are otherwise nonradiative, with light. Furthermore, this configuration is of significance for thin-film solar cells with periodically nanopatterned back-surface reflectors [10,20,21]. Essential details are described in Subsection 2B. Numerical results based on experimentally determined relative permittivities of the metal and the dielectric layers in the PMLID material are presented and discussed in Section 3. Concluding remarks are presented in Section 4.

An $exp(-i\omega t)$ time dependence is implicit, with $\omega$ denoting the angular frequency. The free-space wavenumber, the free-space wavelength, and the phase speed in free space are denoted by $k_0 = \omega/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}$, $\lambda_0 = 2\pi/k_0$, and $c = 1/\sqrt{\varepsilon_0\mu_0}$, respectively, with $\mu_0$ and $\varepsilon_0$ being the permeability and permittivity of free space. Vectors are in boldface, column vectors are in boldface and enclosed within square brackets, and matrices are twice and square bracketed. The asterisk denotes the complex conjugate, and the Cartesian unit vectors are identified as $\hat{u}_x$, $\hat{u}_y$, and $\hat{u}_z$.

2. THEORY IN BRIEF

A. Canonical Boundary-Value Problem: Planar Metal/PMLID Interface

Let the half-space $z \leq 0$ be occupied by an isotropic and homogeneous metal with complex-valued relative permittivity scalar $\varepsilon_{\text{m}}$. The region $z \geq 0$ is occupied by a PMLID material with period 20, as shown schematically in Fig. 1. The unit cell has $N$ layers, the width and the relative permittivity of the $j$th layer, $j \in [1,N]$, being denoted by $d_j$ and $\varepsilon_{rj}$, respectively, $\sum_{j=1}^{N} d_j = 20$.

Without any loss of generality, let an SPP wave propagate parallel to the unit vector $\hat{u}_x$. Substitution of Eq. (2) in the Faraday equation. Here, $a_p$ and $a_s$ are unknown scalars with the same units as the electric field, and the subscripts $p$ and $s$, respectively, denote the $p$- (parallel-) and $s$- (perpendicular-) polarization states with respect to the $x$–$z$ plane.

For field representation in the half-space $z > 0$, let us write

$$E(r) = (e(z) \, exp(i\nu z) \quad H(r) = (h(z) \, exp(i\nu z).$$

Substitution of the foregoing equations in the Faraday and the Ampère–Maxwell equations results in the matrix ordinary differential equation

$$\frac{d}{dz}[f(z)] = i[P] \cdot [f(z)], \quad j \in [1, \infty).$$

for the $j$th layer in the PMLID material, where the column vector $[f(z)] = [e_p (z) \quad e_s (z) \quad h_p (z) \quad h_s (z)]^T$ and the $4 \times 4$ matrix

$$[P] = \begin{bmatrix}
0 & 0 & 0 & \omega \mu_0 - \frac{k^2}{\varepsilon_0} \\
0 & 0 & -\omega \mu_0 & 0 \\
-\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{rj} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\omega \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{rj} & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{bmatrix},$$

$$j \in [1, \infty).$$

The optical response of one period of the PMLID material is contained in the matrix

$$[Q] = \exp (i[P]_N) \cdot \exp (i[P]_{N-1}) \cdot \ldots \cdot \exp (i[P]_1) \cdot \exp (i[P]_0).$$

for a specific value of $\kappa$. Let $[t]^n, n \in [1,4]$, be the eigenvector corresponding to the $n$th eigenvalue $\sigma_n$ of $[Q]$. After ensuring that $\text{Re}[\text{ln}(\sigma_{12})] < 0$ [22], we set

$$f(0+) = b_1 [t]^{(1)} + b_2 [t]^{(2)}$$

for SPP-wave propagation, where $b_1$ and $b_2$ are unknown dimensionless scalars. At the same time, $f(0-)$ can be found in terms of $a_p$ and $a_s$. Continuity of the tangential components of the electric and magnetic field phasors across the plane $z = 0$ requires that $f(0-) = f(0+) \hat{u}_x$, which yields a dispersion
equation for surface-wave propagation. This equation has to be solved in order to determine the SPP wavenumber \( k \).

**B. Practical Boundary-Value Problem: Periodically Corrugated Metal/PMLID Interface**

Let us now consider the boundary-value problem shown schematically in Fig. 2. This boundary-value problem is formulated using the rigorous coupled-wave analysis (RCWA) technique [23–26]. The regions \( z < 0 \) and \( z > L_i = L_d + L_y + L_m \) are vacuous, the region \( 0 \leq z \leq L_o \) is occupied by the same PMLID material as in Subsection 2.A, with its relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r(z) \) a piecewise uniform function of \( z \), and the region \( L_o + L_y \leq z \leq L_i \) by a metal of relative permittivity \( \epsilon_m \). The region \( L_d < z < L_d + L_y \) contains a rectangular surface-relief grating of period \( L \) along the \( x \)-axis and height \( L_y \). With \( 0 < L_y < d_1 \), the relative permittivity \( \epsilon_r(x, z) = \epsilon_r(x \pm L, z) \) is described as

\[
\epsilon_r(x, z) = \begin{cases} 
\epsilon_m, & x \in [0, L_1] \\
\epsilon_{z1}, & x \in [L_1, L].
\end{cases}
\]

for \( x \in [0, L] \) and \( z \in (L_d, L_d + L_y) \). Let us note that the dimensions along the \( z \)-axis are such that the ratio \( N_y = L_o/2\delta \) is an integer—the number of periods of the PMLID material.

In the vacuous half-space \( z \geq 0 \), let a plane wave propagating in the \( x,z \)-plane at an angle \( \theta \) with respect to the \( z \)-axis, be incident on the structure. Hence, the incident, reflected, and transmitted electric field phasors may be written in terms of Floquet harmonics as follows:

\[
E_{\text{inc}}(r) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( u_m \alpha_m^{(n)} + p_x, \alpha_m^{(p)} \right) \exp[i(k_m^{(n)}x + k_z^{(n)}z)],
\]

\( z \geq 0 \),

\[
E_{\text{ref}}(r) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( u_m r_x^{(m)} + p_x, r_p^{(p)} \right) \exp[i(k_m^{(x)}x - k_z^{(m)}z)],
\]

\( z \geq 0 \),

\[
E_{\text{f}}(r) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} \left( u_m t_x^{(m)} + p_x, t_p^{(p)} \right) \exp[i(k_m^{(n)}x + k_z^{(m)}(z - L_t))],
\]

\( z \geq L_t \),

where

\[
p_x^{(m)} = \frac{k_m^{(n)}}{k_0} u_x + \frac{k_m^{(x)}}{k_0} u_z,
\]

\( k_m^{(x)} = k_0 \sin \theta + n k_0 \), and

\[
k_m^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 
+ \sqrt{k_0^2 - (k_m^{(x)})^2}, & k_0^2 > (k_m^{(x)})^2 \\
+ i \sqrt{(k_m^{(x)})^2 - k_0^2}, & k_0^2 < (k_m^{(x)})^2.
\end{cases}
\]

The relative permittivity in the region \( 0 \leq z \leq L_i \) can be expanded as a Fourier series with respect to \( x \), viz.,

\[
\epsilon(x, z) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \epsilon^{(n)}(z) \exp(i k_{x} x), \quad z \in [0, L_d],
\]

where

\[
\epsilon^{(n)}(z) = \begin{cases} 
\epsilon_d(z), & z \in [0, L_d] \\
\int_{0}^{L_d} \epsilon_{z}(x, z) dx, & z \in (L_d, L_d + L_y), \\
\epsilon_{z1}, & z \in [L_d + L_y, L_i],
\end{cases}
\]

\( \epsilon^{(n)}(z) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{L} \int_{0}^{L_d} \epsilon_{x}(x, z) dx (-\text{in} k_{x}) dx, z \in [L_d, L_d + L_y], \forall n \neq 0. \\
0, \quad \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Since the right sides of Eqs. (8)–(10) and (13) contain infinite number of terms, RCWA requires restricting the index \( n \in [-N_i, N_i] \), where \( N_i \) is large enough to deliver converged solutions. The solution algorithm to solve for unknown transmission and reflection amplitudes has been presented in detail elsewhere [19, 23–28].

As the plane of incidence and the plane of the surface-relief grating are identical, depolarization does not occur. For \( p \)-polarized incidence, we set all incidence coefficients equal to zero, except \( \alpha_d^{(0)} = 1 \text{ V m}^{-1} \), and compute the modal reflectances \( R_p = |T_p|^{2} \text{ Re} [k_{1}(^{(0)}n)]/k_0 \cos \theta \) and modal transmittances \( T_p = |T_p|^{2} \text{ Re} [k_{1}(^{(0)}n)]/k_0 \cos \theta \). For \( s \)-polarized incidence, we set all incidence coefficients equal to zero except \( \alpha_d^{(0)} = 1 \text{ V m}^{-1} \) and compute the modal reflectances \( R_s = |T_s|^{2} \text{ Re} [k_{1}(^{(0)}n)]/k_0 \cos \theta \) and modal transmittances \( T_s = |T_s|^{2} \text{ Re} [k_{1}(^{(0)}n)]/k_0 \cos \theta \). Thus, the total reflectance, total transmittance, and absorbance are computed, respectively, as

\[
R_p = \sum_{n=-N_i}^{N_i} R_p^{(n)}, \quad T_p = \sum_{n=-N_i}^{N_i} T_p^{(n)}, \quad A_p = 1 - R_p - T_p,
\]

for \( p \)-polarized incidence; and

\[
R_s = \sum_{n=-N_i}^{N_i} R_s^{(n)}, \quad T_s = \sum_{n=-N_i}^{N_i} T_s^{(n)}, \quad A_s = 1 - R_s - T_s,
\]

for \( s \)-polarized incidence. For all numerical results reported in the following section, a sufficiently high value of \( N_i \) was chosen, as described in Subsection 3.C.

**3. NUMERICAL RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

**A. Experimental Data**

In order to obtain realistic numerical results, the relative permittivities used for the metal and the dielectric layers in the calculations were obtained experimentally.

1. **Metal**

The metal was taken to be silver grown by evaporation. A silicon wafer was coated with 20 nm of chromium followed by 150 nm of silver using electron-beam evaporation. Silver was evaporated at a rate of 0.1 nm s\(^{-1}\) at a pressure of 8 \times 10\(^{-7}\) Torr, while the substrate was held at ambient temperature. The silver film was characterized using
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spectroscopic ellipsometry [29] on a Woollam RC2 system. The measured real and imaginary parts of the \( \epsilon_m \) are plotted in Fig. 3 as functions of \( \lambda_0 \).

2. Dielectric Materials
The PMLID material was taken to have \( N = 9 \) dielectric layers in its unit cell. Each of these dielectric materials was individually deposited on a microscopic glass slide and silicon wafers with bare native oxide, using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition of a specific composition of silane, ammonia, and nitrous oxide in an Applied Materials P-5000 cluster tool. Each material was deposited as a 75-nm-thick film at a susceptor temperature of 300 \(^\circ\)C, a pressure of 3.5 Torr, and RF plasma power of 300 W. Thus, each material has silicon oxide and silicon nitride in a particular ratio, as shown in Table 1, and may be classified as either silicon oxide or silicon nitride or a silicon oxynitride, all of the amorphous type.

Each dielectric material was characterized by spectroscopic ellipsometry on a Woollam RC2 system. Whereas the imaginary part of the relative permittivity of each material was found to be smaller than \( 10^{-4} \) for \( \lambda_0 \in [400, 1100] \text{ nm} \), Cauchy coefficients for the real part were fitted to the experimental data to yield
\[
\text{Re}(\epsilon_m) = \left( A_j + B_j \frac{\lambda_0}{c} + C_j \lambda_0 \right)^2, \quad j \in [1, 9]. \quad (18)
\]

The chemical composition and the Cauchy coefficients are provided in Table 1, and the plots of \( \text{Re}(\epsilon_m) \times j \in [1, 9] \), with respect to \( \lambda_0 \) in Fig. 4.

B. Solutions of the Canonical Boundary-Value Problem
A Mathematica program was written and implemented to solve the dispersion equation mentioned in Subsection 2.A, using a sequential combination of the search and the Newton–Raphson methods [30] for \( \lambda_0 \in [400, 1100] \text{ nm} \). The metal was taken to be evaporated silver with relative permittivity given in Fig. 3. Each unit cell of the PMLID material was supposed to have \( N = 9 \) layers, each of thickness 75 nm, with relative permittivities given in Fig. 4.

The relative phase speed \( v_p/c = k_0/\text{Re}(\kappa) \) and the e-folding distance \( \Delta_\perp = 1/\text{Im}(\kappa) \) along the direction of propagation are plotted in Fig. 5 for each solution of the dispersion equation that we found. The solutions are organized in six branches: \( p_1, p_2, \) and \( p_3 \) for \( p \)-polarized SPP waves; and \( s_1, s_2, \) and \( s_3 \) for \( s \)-polarized SPP waves. Thus, multiple SPP waves of both \( p \)- and \( s \)-polarization states can be guided by the planar metal/PMLID interface. The number of SPP waves depends on the free-space wavelength \( \lambda_0 \). More accurately, it depends on the reduced period \( 2\Omega/\lambda_0 \) of the PMLID material.

Figure 5 shows another branch, labeled \( p_0 \). It is not a solution of the canonical problem when the PMLID material is entirely replaced by a homogeneous dielectric material of relative permittivity \( \epsilon_{i1} \)—the relative permittivity of the layer of the PMLID material that is adjacent to the metal. The wavenumber for the \( p_0 \) branch is given by [31]
\[
\kappa_{p0} = k_0 \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_m \epsilon_{i1}}{\epsilon_m + \epsilon_{i1}}}. \quad (19)
\]

For \( \lambda_0 < 540 \text{ nm} \), the \( p_0 \) branch coincides with the \( p_1 \) branch, indicating that the SPP wave guided by the metal/PMLID interface diminishes so rapidly in the PMLID half-space that its magnitudes in the second and later layers of the PMLID material are vanishingly small. That was also the reason that the computer program implemented to solve the dispersion equation of Subsection 2.A could not converge for the \( p_1 \) branch when \( \lambda_0 < 526 \text{ nm} \). However, when \( \lambda_0 \) increases above

| Table 1. Composition and Cauchy Coefficients for Nine Dielectric Layers in the PMLID Material*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Index</th>
<th>SiO(_2) %</th>
<th>SiN(_x) %</th>
<th>( A_j )</th>
<th>( B_j ) (nm(^2))</th>
<th>( C_j ) (nm(^4))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>( 1.44 \times 10^4 )</td>
<td>( 1.27 \times 10^6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>1.79</td>
<td>( 9.33 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 6.76 \times 10^6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.75</td>
<td>( 8.76 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 5.45 \times 10^6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>( 8.58 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 3.60 \times 10^6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>( 7.31 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 1.36 \times 10^6 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>( 6.57 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 7.65 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>( 5.65 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 5.65 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>1.51</td>
<td>( 4.63 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 5.01 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.47</td>
<td>( 2.95 \times 10^3 )</td>
<td>( 6.10 \times 10^7 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Spectra of the real parts of the relative permittivity of the dielectric layers are plotted in Fig. 4.
540 nm, the branch p1 is not the same as p0, and the computer program worked well. All other branches (i.e., p2, p3, and s1–s3) represent the SPP waves that have spatial extent beyond the first layer for \( \lambda_0 \in [400, 1100] \) nm; therefore, those solutions of the dispersion equation were found without difficulty.

Parenthetically, we note here that all solutions of the dispersion equation of Subsection 2.A may not have been found by our algorithm to solve it, because of the nonlinear nature of the dispersion equation. Therefore, the solutions of the dispersion equation presented in Fig. 5 should not be considered the complete set of solutions.

C. Results for the Practical Boundary-Value Problem

Let us now proceed toward the numerical results for the main work reported in this paper: the excitation of multiple SPP waves in the grating-coupled configuration described in Subsection 2.B. Both the metal and the PMLID material were taken to be the same as in Subsection 3.B. Moreover, we chose \( L_1 = 0.5L, L = 400 \) nm, \( L_0 = 35 \) nm, and \( L_{\text{m}} = 30 \) nm for representative results. The number of terms in Eqs. (5)–(10) and (13) was restricted to 25 (i.e., \( N_t = 12 \)) in our computer program after ascertaining that all nonzero modal reflectances and the absorptances converged to within 1% of their value for \( N_t = 11 \). Since \( N = 9 \) and \( d_j = 75 \) nm \( \forall j \in [1, 9] \), \( \Omega = 337.5 \) nm. The number \( N_p \) of periods was kept variable. For illustrative purposes, the results for \( \lambda_0 = 500 \) nm and \( \lambda_0 = 700 \) nm are presented in this section.

1. \( \lambda_0 = 500 \) nm

The relative wavenumbers \( \kappa/k_0 \) that satisfy the dispersion equation of the canonical boundary-value problem for \( \lambda_0 = 500 \) nm are provided in Table 2. To study the excitation of these SPP waves in the grating-coupled configuration, the total reflectances \( R_p \) and \( R_s \) were calculated as functions of the incidence angle \( \theta \) and are presented in Figs. 6(a) and 6(c), respectively, for three values of \( N_p: 1, 2, \) and 3. The dips in the these plots that are independent of the value of \( N_p \) may represent the excitation of SPP waves and are highlighted by vertical arrows in Figs. 6(a) and 6(c). However, it is possible that the reflectance dips are caused by the increase in transmittance and could be false indication of the excitation of SPP waves. Therefore, to have an unequivocal proof that the decrease in reflectance indicates the excitation of SPP waves, the absorptances \( A_p \) and \( A_s \) were also calculated as functions of \( \theta \) and are presented in Figs. 6(b) and 6(d), respectively, when \( N_p = 1, 2, \) and 3.

In Fig. 6(b), three \( A_p \) peaks are identified by vertical arrows. The peaks are located at \( \theta \approx 14.5^\circ, 26.2^\circ, \) and 55.2° when \( N_p = 3 \), but at slightly different values of \( \theta \) for the lower values of \( N_p \). We have ensured that the locations of these peaks do not change significantly for \( N_p \) as high as 6. These peaks represent the excitation of \( p \)-polarized SPP waves [19,28]. The locations of other peaks in Fig. 6(b) are dependent on the value of \( N_p \) and, therefore, represent the excitation of waveguide modes [32,33] whose characteristics depend on the thickness of the PMLID material. The relative wavenumbers of several Floquet harmonics at the \( \theta \) values of the identified
peaks are given in Table 3. A comparison of Tables 2 and 3 shows that, at $\theta = 14.5^\circ$, $k_2^{(1)}/k_0 = 1.5004$ is very close to Re$(1.4866 + 0.0010i)$; likewise, at $\theta = 26.2^\circ$, $k_2^{(3)}/k_0 = 1.6915$ is very close to Re$(1.6716 + 0.0019i)$. Therefore, these two $A_p$ peaks represent the excitation of p-polarized SPP waves as Floquet harmonics of order $n = 1$. This conclusion is reinforced by the spatial profile of the $x$-component $P_x$ of the time-averaged Poynting vector,

$$P(x, z) = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}[E(x, z) \times H^*(x, z)],$$

presented in Fig. 7 along the line ($x = 0.75L$, $y = 0$) normal to the mean metal/PMLID plane when $N_p = 3$. The figure shows that, at $\theta = 14.5^\circ$ and $26.2^\circ$, two surface waves are being guided by the metal/PMLID grating; however, the SPP wave excited at $\theta = 26.2^\circ$ is more localized than the one excited at $\theta = 14.5^\circ$. Moreover, both the SPP waves are propagating coparallel with $\hat{u}_x$.

![Fig. 6](image_url)

**Fig. 6.** (Color online) Total reflectances (a) $R_p$ and (c) $R_s$, and absorptances (b) $A_p$ and (d) $A_s$ versus the angle of incidence $\theta$ when $\lambda_0 = 500$ nm, $L = 400$ nm, $L_1 = 0.5L$, $L_2 = 35$ nm, $L_3 = 30$ nm, and $L_4 = 20N_p$. The vertical arrows identify the reflectance dips or absorptance peaks that represent the excitation of SPP waves.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 2. Relative Wavenumbers $\kappa/k_0$ of Possible SPP Waves for $\lambda_0 = 500$ nm Obtained by the Solution of the Canonical Boundary-Value Problem Described in Subsection 2.A. Except for the Italicized Entry that was Obtained by the Solution of Eq. (19)°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$p$-pol</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$-pol</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

°If $x$ represents an SPP wave propagating coparallel to $\hat{u}_x$, $-x$ represents an SPP wave propagating antiparallel to $\hat{u}_x$.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 3. Relative Wavenumbers $k^{(n)}/k_0$ of Floquet Harmonics at the $\theta$-Values of the Peaks Identified by Vertical Arrows in Fig. 6 when $\lambda_0 = 500$ nm, $N_p = 3$, and $L = 400$ nm°</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\theta$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 4.8^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 14.5^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 17.7^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 26.2^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 30.2^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 48.5^\circ$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta = 55.2^\circ$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

°Boldface entries signify SPP waves.

The peak at $\theta = 55.2^\circ$ in Fig. 6(b) also represents an $p$-polarized SPP wave as a Floquet harmonic of positive order, as can be seen by the spatial profile of $P_x$ given also in Fig. 7, because the SPP wave is propagating along $\hat{u}_x$. The very low magnitude of $A_p$ at the peak and the subsequent low intensity of $P_x$ in Fig. 7 support the inference that this $p$-polarized SPP wave is excited as a Floquet harmonic of order $n = 2$ because it has been shown elsewhere [19,34,35] that the SPP waves that are excited as a Floquet harmonic of second order tend to have lower absorbance peaks. The spatial profile also shows that this $p$-polarized SPP wave is tightly localized to the metal/PMLID grating. Now, at $\theta = 55.2^\circ$, $k_2^{(2)}/k_0 = 3.3212$ in Table 3. A corresponding solution on the $p1$ branch could not be delivered by our computer program, as discussed in Subsection 3.B. Although Eq. (10) did deliver $\kappa/k_0 = 2.8470 + 0.1506i$ on the $p0$ branch, its real part is not close to $k_2^{(2)}/k_0 = 3.3212$ in Table 2. We conjecture that this $A_p$ peak does represent the excitation of a $p$-polarized SPP wave, the significant difference between $k_2^{(2)}/k_0 = 3.3212$ and Re$(2.8470 + 0.1506i)$ being due to the fact that the SPP wave is tightly bound to the metal/PMLID interface in the canonical boundary-value problem. Since $\kappa/k_0 = 2.8470 + 0.1506i$ was obtained assuming the metal/PMLID interface to be planar and $k_2^{(2)}/k_0 = 3.3212$ is for an SPP wave guided by the metal/PMLID grating, we think the surface roughness has a huge significant effect on the relative wavenumber of the SPP wave due to its very strong localization to the metal/PMLID interface.

In the plots of $A_x$ versus $\theta$ in Fig. 6(d), four peaks represent the excitation of $s$-polarized SPP waves: $\theta \approx 4.8^\circ$, $17.7^\circ$, $30.2^\circ$, and $48.5^\circ$ (for $N_p = 3$). The relative wavenumbers of several Floquet harmonics at these values of $\theta$ are provided in Table 3. A comparison of Tables 2 and 3 shows that (i) at $\theta = 4.8^\circ$, $k_2^{(1)}/k_0 = 1.3337$ is very close to Re$(1.3066 + 0.0009i)$, and (ii) at $\theta = 17.7^\circ$, $k_2^{(1)}/k_0 = 1.5540$ is very close to Re$(1.5389 + 0.0010i)$. Therefore, these two $A_x$ peaks represent the excitation of $s$-polarized SPP waves as a Floquet harmonic of order $n = 1$. The spatial variations of $P_x$ at these values of $\theta$ in Fig. 8 also support this conclusion. Moreover, both of these $s$-polarized SPP waves are loosely localized to the metal/PMLID interface in the PMLID material, with the $s$-polarized SPP wave excited at $\theta = 4.8^\circ$ having more spatial extension into the PMLID material than the SPP wave excited at $\theta = 17.7^\circ$. The spatial extensions of these two SPP waves in the PMLID material explain the apparent dependence of these $A_x$ peaks on $N_p$. However, we have verified that the locations of these peaks are independent of $N_p$ for $N_p > 6$. 
At $\theta = 30.2^\circ$ and $48.5^\circ$ in Fig. 6(d), the same $s$-polarized SPP wave is excited; however, it is excited as a Floquet harmonic of order $n$ at $\theta = 30.2^\circ$ because $k_0 / k_0 = 1.7530$ is very close to $|\text{Re}(1.7336 + 0.0010i)|$ and as a Floquet harmonic of order $n = -2$ at $\theta = 48.5^\circ$ as $k_0 / k_0 = 1.7510$ is very close to $|\text{Re}(-1.7336 - 0.0010i)|$. This is also evident from the spatial profiles in Fig. 8 because, at $\theta = 30.2^\circ$, the $s$-polarized SPP wave is propagating along $\mathbf{u}_s$, whereas, at $\theta = 48.5^\circ$, the same SPP wave is propagating along $-\mathbf{u}_s$.

Let us note that, in Fig. 6(c), the reflectance does not decrease at $\theta = 48.5^\circ$ (even though an $s$-polarized SPP wave is excited at this angle) when $N_p = 3$. However, the absorptance peak in Fig. 6(d) at the same value of $\theta$ when $N_p = 3$ indicates that, instead of a decrease in the reflectance, the transmittance has decreased. So, the reflectance plots do not always possess complete information and should be used carefully in analyses for SPP-wave excitation.

| Table 4. Same as Table 2, Except for $\lambda_0 = 700$ nm |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| $p$-pol         | $2.1572 + 0.0208i$ | $1.5592 + 0.0010i$ |
| $s$-pol         | $1.6401 + 0.0006i$ | $1.3322 + 0.0007i$ |

Fig. 7. (Color online) Variation of the $x$-component $P_x(x, z)$ of the time-averaged Poynting vector $\mathbf{P}(x, z)$ along the $z$ axis in the regions (left) $0 < z < L_d$ and (right) $L_d < z < L_d + L_g + L_m$, when $\lambda_0 = 500$ nm, $x = 0.75L_g$, $N_p = 3$, $L_g = 35$ nm, $L_m = 30$ nm, and $L = 400$ nm. The incident plane wave is $p$ polarized with $a_0^{(0)} = 1$ V m$^{-1}$, and the angles of incidence are those of the peaks identified by vertical arrows in Fig. 6(b).

Fig. 8. (Color online) Same as Fig. 7, except that the incident plane wave is $s$ polarized with $a_0^{(0)} = 1$ V m$^{-1}$, and the angles of incidence are those of the peaks identified by vertical arrows in Fig. 6(d).

Fig. 9. (Color online) Same as Fig. 6, except for $\lambda_0 = 700$ nm.
2. \( \lambda_0 = 700 \) nm

The relative wavenumbers \( \kappa/k_0 \) that satisfy the dispersion equation of the canonical boundary-value problem for \( \lambda_0 = 700 \) nm are provided in Table 4. The table shows that at least two \( p \)- and two \( s \)-polarized SPP waves can be guided by the planar metal/PMLID interface.

Plots of the total reflectances and absorptances versus \( \theta \) are presented in Fig. 9 when \( N_p = 1, 2, \) and 3. In the plots of \( A_p \) in Fig. 9(b), three peaks are present, independent of the value of \( N_p \); \( \theta \approx 8.7^\circ, \) 26.6\(^\circ\), and 45.7\(^\circ\). The relative wavenumbers of several Floquet harmonics at these values of \( \theta \) are provided in Table 5. At \( \theta = 8.7^\circ \), \( k_x^{\text{i}(-1)}/k_0 = -1.5987 \) in Table 5 is very close to \( \text{Re}(-1.5592 - 0.0010i) \) in Table 4; therefore, this peak represents the excitation of a \( p \)-polarized SPP wave as a Floquet harmonic of order \( n = -1 \).

The spatial profile of \( P_x \) given in Fig. 10 for \( \theta = 8.7^\circ \) also supports this conclusion, with the SPP wave propagating antiparallel to \( \mathbf{u}_x \).

At \( \theta = 45.7^\circ \) in Fig. 9(b), the \( A_p \) peak represents the excitation of another \( p \)-polarized SPP wave as a Floquet harmonic of order \( n = 1 \) because \( k_x^{\text{i}(-1)}/k_0 = 2.4657 \) in Table 5 is close to \( \text{Re}(2.1572 + 0.0208i) \) in Table 4. The agreement between \( k_x^{\text{i}(-1)}/k_0 = 2.4657 \) and \( \text{Re}(2.1572 + 0.0208i) \) is not very strong, due to the high localization of the SPP wave to the metal/PMLID interface, as can be ascertained from the spatial profile presented in Fig. 10 for \( \theta = 45.7^\circ \).

At \( \theta = 26.6^\circ \) in Fig. 9(b), the \( A_p \) peak is independent of \( N_p \) and the spatial profile of \( P_x \) given in Fig. 10 also shows that a surface wave is propagating along \(-\mathbf{u}_x\). However, \( k_x^{\text{i}(-1)}/k_0 = -1.3022 \) in Table 5 is not close to the real part of any of the solutions for \( p \)-polarized SPP waves given in Table 4. The plots (not shown) of the real and imaginary parts of the solution of the dispersion equation of Subsection 2.4 versus \( \text{Re}(\kappa) \) around \( \kappa = 1.3k_0 \) showed that a solution does exist near this value of \( \kappa \), but the slopes of the plots are so high that the solution algorithm was unable to converge to the solution. So, we conjecture that the \( A_p \) peak at \( \theta = 26.6^\circ \) does represent the excitation of a \( p \)-polarized SPP wave.

In the plots of \( A_s \) versus \( \theta \) in Fig. 9(d), \( s \)-polarized SPP waves are excited at two values of \( \theta \): 5.4\(^\circ\) and 23.2\(^\circ\). The relative wavenumbers of several Floquet harmonics at these values of \( \theta \) are given in Table 5. A comparison of Tables 4 and 5 shows that, at \( \theta = 5.4^\circ \), \( k_x^{\text{i}(+1)}/k_0 = -1.6559 \) is very close to \( \text{Re}(-1.6401 - 0.0061i) \). Similarly, at \( \theta = 23.2^\circ \), \( k_x^{\text{i}(+1)}/k_0 = -1.3561 \) is very close to \( \text{Re}(-1.3322 - 0.0007i) \). Therefore, these two \( A_s \) peaks represent the excitation of \( s \)-polarized SPP waves as Floquet harmonics of order \( n = -1 \). The spatial variations of \( P_x \) in Fig. 11 also support this conclusion as both SPP waves are propagating along \(-\mathbf{u}_x\).

However, the \( s \)-polarized SPP wave excited at \( \theta \approx 23.2^\circ \) is loosely bound to the metal/PMLID grating. This is also reflected in the slight shift in the \( \theta \) value of the \( A_s \) peak in Fig. 9(d) when \( N_p \) changes from 1 to 3. However, the difference between the \( \theta \) positions of the peak when \( N_p = 2 \) and \( N_p = 3 \) is less than the difference between the \( \theta \) positions when \( N_p = 1 \) and \( N_p = 2 \); and, for sufficiently high value of \( N_p \), we have computationally determined that the \( A_s \) peak at \( \theta \approx 23.2^\circ \) is independent of the value of \( N_p \).

To demonstrate that the absorbance peaks that are not identified by vertical arrows represent the excitation of waveguide modes, we have presented the spatial variations of \( P_x \) in Fig. 12 when \( \lambda_0 = 700 \), the incident plane wave is \( s \)-polarized, \( N_p = 3 \), and \( \theta \in \{7^\circ, 17^\circ, 21^\circ, 42^\circ, 45^\circ\} \). The two chosen values of \( \theta \) are of the two peaks in Fig. 9(d) that do not represent the excitation of SPP waves. The spatial profiles in Fig. 12 show that the energy of either of these two guided waves is not localized to the metal/PMLID interface but is distributed in the bulk of the PMLID material.

### Table 5. Relative Wavenumbers \( k_x^{\text{i}(\pm)}/k_0 \) of Floquet Harmonics at the \( \theta \)-Values of the Peaks Identified in Fig. 9 when \( \lambda_0 = 700 \text{ nm}, N_p = 3 \), and \( L = 400 \text{ nm} \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( \theta )</th>
<th>( -3.4059 )</th>
<th>(-1.6559 )</th>
<th>( 0.0941 )</th>
<th>( 1.8441 )</th>
<th>( 3.5941 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( 5.4^\circ )</td>
<td>(-3.3487 )</td>
<td>(-1.5987 )</td>
<td>( 0.1513 )</td>
<td>( 1.9013 )</td>
<td>( 3.6513 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 8.7^\circ )</td>
<td>(-3.1061 )</td>
<td>(-1.3561 )</td>
<td>( 0.3939 )</td>
<td>( 2.1439 )</td>
<td>( 3.8039 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 23.2^\circ )</td>
<td>(-3.0522 )</td>
<td>(-1.3022 )</td>
<td>( 0.4478 )</td>
<td>( 2.1078 )</td>
<td>( 3.9478 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 26.6^\circ )</td>
<td>(-2.7843 )</td>
<td>(-1.0343 )</td>
<td>( 0.7157 )</td>
<td>( 2.4657 )</td>
<td>( 4.2157 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Boldface entries signify SPP waves.*
4. CONCLUDING REMARKS

We formulated a boundary-value problem for the excitation of SPP waves by the periodically corrugated interface of a metal of finite thickness and a PMLID material with a finite number of periods. On this structure, a linearly polarized plane wave was taken to be obliquely incident, the plane of incidence being the same as the plane of corrugations. Using a numerically stable algorithm based on the well-known technique of RCWA, we solved the boundary-value problem and calculated the amplitudes of the Floquet harmonics in the reflected and the transmitted fields. The refractive indices of all materials were experimentally determined. Furthermore, with eventual application to thin-film solar cells in view, we ensured that the geometric parameters employed for the calculations are experimentally realizable.

For both $p$- and $s$-polarized incident plane waves, we examined the total reflectance and the absorptance of the structure as functions of the angle of incidence. Absorptance peaks independent of the number of periods of the PMLID material, when that material is sufficiently thick, indicated the excitation of SPP waves. These absorptance peaks were also correlated with the results of an underlying canonical boundary-value problem wherein solutions of a dispersion equation for the guidance of SPP waves by the planar interface of the metal and the PMLID material were obtained. That multiple SPP waves were obtained at any $\lambda_0 \in [400, 1100]$ nm, some with $e$-folding distances (along the direction of propagation) exceeding 100 $\mu$m, is promising for application in thin-film solar cells. The excitation of waveguide modes, in addition to SPP waves, will also play an important role in the enhancement of absorption in that application [28,36].
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